1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 BELINDA K. and J.H., her minor son, Case No.: 10-CV-02507-LHK 13 Petitioners, ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE v. 14 **MATTERS** YOLANDA BALDOVINOS, et al., 15 Respondents. 16 17 18 In an Administrative Motion filed on February 26, 2013, ECF No. 280, Respondents 19 County of Alameda and Yolanda Baldovinos requested copies of endorsed-filed, unredacted 20 versions of several documents that the parties had filed with the District Court. 21 The Court previously granted Respondents' Motion as to the documents which had been 22 filed on ECF: the Notice of Appeal (Docket #250), and the Summary Judgment Order (Docket 23 #233). ECF No. 282. 24 The Court now GRANTS Respondents' Motion as to the following requested declarations and the exhibits attached to those declarations which were originally filed in support of 25 26 Respondents' Motion for Summary Judgment, and were resubmitted under seal with the parties' 27 28 1 Case No.: 10-CV-02507-LHK

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

| ECF No. 223 ¹ : 1. Declaration and attached exhibits of James Crawford-Jakubiak, M.D.; 2. Declaration and attached exhibits of Geri Isaacson; 3. Declaration and attached exhibits of Linda Fuchs; 4. Declaration and attached exhibits of Mary Ellyn Gormley; 5. Declaration and attached exhibits of Rhonda Malone. 6. Additionally, the Court GRANTS Respondents' request for the declaration and | 2012, |
|---|-------|
| Declaration and attached exhibits of Geri Isaacson; Declaration and attached exhibits of Linda Fuchs; Declaration and attached exhibits of Mary Ellyn Gormley; Declaration and attached exhibits of Rhonda Malone. | |
| Declaration and attached exhibits of Linda Fuchs; Declaration and attached exhibits of Mary Ellyn Gormley; Declaration and attached exhibits of Rhonda Malone. | |
| Declaration and attached exhibits of Mary Ellyn Gormley;Declaration and attached exhibits of Rhonda Malone. | |
| Declaration and attached exhibits of Rhonda Malone. | |
| | |
| 6 Additionally the Court CP ANTS Pernandents' request for the declaration and | |
| o. Additionally, the Court OKAIVI3 Respondents Tequest for the dectaration and | 1 |
| attached exhibit of Michelle Love. Respondents requested the declaration of Michelle Love is | n |
| support of Respondents' Motion for Summary Judgment. However, the declaration of Miche | lle |
| Love that was filed with this Court and referenced in the Court's Summary Judgment Order, | ECF |
| No. 233, at 7, was filed in support of Respondents' Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Sun | nmary |
| Judgment. The Court interprets Respondents' request as a request for this document. | |
| The Court's copies are neither endorsed-filed nor stamped "Chambers Copy." However | er, |
| he Court's copies are copies of the signed declarations which the Court reviewed in ruling or | ı the |
| parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment. | |
| The Clerk's office shall provide Respondents with copies of these documents. | |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| | |
| Dated: August 9, 2013 Sucy H. Koh | - |
| LUCYH. KOH United States District Judge | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The Court notes that the parties failed to comply with this Court's Civil Standing Order | |

Case No.: 10-CV-02507-LHK

27

28

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

The Court notes that the parties failed to comply with this Court's Civil Standing Order Regarding Motions to File Under Seal, which requires that a party seeking to file documents under seal must also publicly e-file, as an exhibit to the administrative motion to file under seal, a proposed public redacted version of the documents that the party is seeking to seal. The parties did not e-file redacted copies of these documents.